	1 2 3 4 5 6	Gene Williams (SBN 211390) GWilliams@InitiativeLegal.com Mark P. Pifko (SBN 228412) MPifko@InitiativeLegal.com Jennifer S. Grock (SBN 245671) JGrock@InitiativeLegal.com Initiative Legal Group APC 1800 Century Park East, 2nd Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-5637 Facsimile: (310) 861-9051  Attorneys for Plaintiff James Collins	TOWN ROLL STORY OF THE STORY OF
FILED BY FAX	8		S DISTRICT COLIDT
	9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	10	NORTHER DIST	der of cabil ordin
INITIATIVE LEGAL GROUP APC 1900 GANTOFF FANT SECOND FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067	11	JAMES COLLINS, individually, and	Gas VNo: 10 1210
	12	on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:
	13	Plaintiff,	(1) Violation of the Consumers Legal
	14	vs.	Remedies Act (Cal. Civil Code §§ 1750 et seq.)
	15 16 17 18	GAMESTOP CORP, a Delaware corporation; GAMESTOP.COM, INC,, a Delaware Corporation; B&N GAMESTOP HOLDING CORP., a Delaware corporation; and BARNES AND NOBLE, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	<ul> <li>(2) Violation of Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Business &amp; Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.); and</li> <li>(3) Fraud and/or Intentional Deceit Jury Trial Demanded</li> </ul>
~ \	19	Defendants.	
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff JAMES COLLINS ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all other members of the public similarly situated, alleges as follows:

### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a consumer class action regarding GameStop's unfair, unlawful, deceptive and misleading practices conducted in violation of California state law and common law.
- 2. This class action involves certain used video games that are sold in GameStop retail stores and GameStop.com. With respect to these used video games, GameStop fraudulently, unfairly, unlawfully, and deceptively misleads consumers at the time of their purchase to believe that the game comes with free downloadable content, when in fact, it does not. Several games—including, but not limited to, the video game titles "Dragon Age Origins," "Mass Effect 2," "Battlefield: Bad Company 2," "Gears of War 2: Game of the Year Edition," "NBA Live '09," "Rock Band 2," and "AC / DC Live: Rock Band Track Pack"—are packaged with downloadable content, such as characters, levels, weapons and songs. The downloadable content is available to players after they enter a "use code" that comes with the game.
- 3. The availability of this additional content is prominently advertised on the packaging of these games. The problem arises when GameStop sells used copies of these games. Despite the representations on the packaging that the game comes with a free use code, unbeknownst to consumers who purchase a used copy of one of these games, upon attempting to download the content identified on the game's packaging, consumers are unable to do so unless they pay an additional fee. This occurs because the use codes have already been used by the individual who purchased the game as new. In short, as a result of GameStop's deceptive and misleading practices, consumers who purchase used games from GameStop unknowingly find that they must pay an additional fee to access the full game they thought they purchased.

- 4. GameStop's deceptive practices are compounded by the fact that consumers have only seven (7) days to return a used video game. Many consumers do not realize they do not have access to all of the advertised content until after the time to return the game has expired. GameStop, who makes more than 20% of its revenue and nearly \$2 billion from the sale of used video games, is aware of this issue, and continues to fail to alert customers that this content is not available on used games.
- 5. As a result, GameStop tricks consumers into paying more for a used game than they would if they purchased the same game and content new.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The matter in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000 and is a class action in which members of the class of plaintiffs are citizens of states different from Defendants. Further, greater than two-thirds of the Class Members reside in states other than the states in which Defendants are citizens. In addition, under 28 U.S.C. § 1367, this Court may exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims because all of the claims are derived from a common nucleus of operative facts and are such that plaintiffs ordinarily would expect to try them in one judicial proceeding.
- 7. Venue lies within this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)-(c) because Defendants transact business in this judicial district, and certain acts giving rise to the claims asserted in this Complaint occurred within this District.

### **PARTIES**

- 8. Plaintiff James Collins ("Plaintiff") is a California resident.
- 9. Defendants GAMESTOP CORP., GAMESTOP.COM, INC., B&N GAMESTOP HOLDING CORP. and BARNES AND NOBLES, INC.

(collectively "GameStop" or "Defendants") are Delaware corporations who are authorized to do and, are in fact, doing business in the State of California.

- 10. Whenever, in this Complaint, reference is made to any act, deed or conduct of Defendants, the allegation means that Defendants engaged in the act, deed or conduct by or through one or more of their officers, directors, agents, employees or representatives who was actively engaged in the management, direction, control or transaction of the ordinary business and affairs of one or more of Defendants.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that at all material times herein, each of the Defendants was the agent, servant and/or employee of the other Defendants, acted within the purpose, scope and course of said agency, service and/or employment and with the express and/or implied knowledge, permission and consent of the other Defendants, and ratified and approved the acts of the other Defendants.

### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

- 12. Defendants own and operate over 6,200 video game stores worldwide, over 4,300 of which are in the United States. GameStop carries a large assortment of popular used and new video game hardware, software, accessories and related products.
- 13. What sets GameStop apart from other video game retailers is its virtual lock on the sale of used games. Consumers can trade in old games for cash or credit which can be used towards the purchase of new or used games. GameStop then sells these used games to other consumers for only \$5 to \$10 below the price of a new game.
- 14. GameStop buys used games for approximately half the price that it will later sell the game for. Accordingly, GameStop's profits from the sale of used games are higher than they are from the sale of new games. GameStop's gross profit margin from the sale of used products is approximately 50%. In

contrast, the gross profit margin for the sale of new games is usually between 7% and 20%. Moreover, more than 40% of GameStop's gross profits come from the sale of used products. Even in today's slow economy, the total annual revenue from sales of used games has grown to nearly \$2 billion. Indeed, to quote the *Wall Street Journal*, "[a]mid a devastating period for most retailers, videogame seller GameStop Corp. has posted big sales gains, in part by aggressively marketing used products to cash-strapped consumers."

- 15. However, with big profits constantly looming overhead, GameStop is doing more than "aggressively marketing" the used product it sells, the company's practices are consistently defrauding and deceiving consumers. In addition to the deceptive, fraudulent and misleading practices at issue here, in 2003, GameStop settled a class action lawsuit in which GameStop was accused of selling used games as new. Unfortunately for consumers, GameStop's compliance with this settlement has recently been called into question, with allegations that employees are playing video games that GameStop then repackages and sells as new.
- 16. Over the past few years, video game publishers, perhaps in an effort to reduce this secondary market from which they do not profit, have begun to include additional downloadable content with the purchase of their games. This content can be unlocked and downloaded by entering a use code that is included with the purchase of the video game. The availability of this feature is prominently displayed on the video game boxes.
- 17. The use code allows the original purchaser of the video game to access the full content of the game as advertised. However, subsequent users, such as individuals who buy a used copy of a game at GameStop must pay an additional fee to access all of the features advertised on the video game box.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Yukaru Iwatani Kane & Miguel Bustillo, Used Games Score Big for GameStop, WALL ST. J., Jan. 21, 2009 at B1.

- 18. Despite the fact that many used games do not contain all of the game's advertised content unless consumers pay an additional fee to the game publisher, GameStop advertises its used or pre-owned games on its website by stating, "This pre-owned product is guaranteed to work and includes a box and instructional manual."<sup>2</sup>
- 19. Titles that use this use code system include: "Dragon Age Origins," "Mass Effect 2," "Battlefield: Bad Company 2," "Gears of War 2," 'NBA Live '09," "Rock Band 2," and "AC / DC Live: Rock Band Track Pack," all of which are sold at GameStop.
- 20. Advertisements for the special features are usually located on the back of the video game box. For example, the back of the "Dragon Age Origins" box states in large print:

INCLUDES: Downloadable character and quest A \$15 VALUE

Below this language, in small print, is the language: "One-time use code available with full retail purchase. Expires April 30, 2010."

This text appears on the video game box as follows:

/// ///

///

<sup>2</sup> GameStop, http://www.gamestop.com/browse/search.aspx?N=80 (last visited Mar. 12, 2010)

Similar text appears on the back cover of "Gears of War 2: Game of the Year Edition." The text on that box states prominently at the top:

"INCLUDES: 19 EXTRA MAPS and an ADDITIONAL CAMPAIGN CHAPTER"

Similarly, in microscopic small print, on the bottom half of the box is the text:

///

///

///

Page 6



22. In both games, the box clearly represents to customers that purchasing this game will give the buyer access to features which will enhance his or her gaming experience. Consumers rely on these representations, and their belief that the content is part of the game leads them to purchase the game. The small print does not in any way tell customers who are purchasing used copies of the game that they would not get access to these features. In the case of "Dragon Age Origins," the text states that you would get the use code with a "retail purchase" of the game. However, consumers who purchase the used game at a full retail store like GameStop, instead of from an online marketplace such as eBay.com, or from an individual, do not get these additional features. Similarly, GameStop's consumers are not aware that the "Download card" which is said to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

be included cannot be used, or is not included, with used games.

- 23. By not informing consumers that they will not receive all the advertised features of the game, GameStop misrepresented and concealed material facts regarding its used games. This situation is analogous to selling a used book to customers without telling them that there are chapters missing.
- In order to receive all of the game's advertised features, consumers who purchase the used game must pay an additional fee that will often make the total cost of the used game more expensive for the consumer than a new copy of the same game.
- 25. GameStop was and is aware that these games do not include the use code required to obtain the additional features of the game. GameStop checks all used games prior to selling them to other consumers. GameStop's website proudly advertises this fact, comparing GameStop's check to the one "some preowned car dealers put their vehicles through." 3 Thus, like a car dealer selling a certified used car, GameStop assures consumers that the used game they are purchasing is fully functioning, with all the features that a new game has. Accordingly, when GameStop's used game consumers find out that they did not get what they thought they paid for, many of them, including Plaintiff, have voiced complaints about their inability to download a game's downloadable content. Nevertheless, knowing this, GameStop continues to mislead consumers by concealing the true nature of the used games it sells.
- Because of GameStop's concealment, consumers justifiably relied 26. on the text contained on the video game's box.
- 27. GameStop's failure to disclose the truth to consumers is compounded by the company's return policy on used games. GameStop's return

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GameStop, http://www.gamestop.com/preowned (last visited Mar. 12, 2010).

policy states, "Used (pre-owned) merchandise and opened new accessories may be returned for a full refund within 7 days of purchase or exchanged for the identical item within 30 days of purchase." <sup>4</sup> This means that consumers have only seven days to discover that they would not receive all of the characters, levels, songs and/or other features that are advertised on the game's box, and return the game to the store. In many cases, a consumer does not use the downloadable content until after finishing most or all of the other features. For example, before one would download and play the downloadable quest of Dragon Age Origins, one would likely play all of the basic quests included on the disc. These basic quests can take weeks or even months to complete, depending on the skill level of the player and the number of hours the game is played each day.

28. The reason for GameStop's concealment is clear; it is done to induce the company's customers to buy the used version of the game instead of the new version because GameStop makes more profit on the sale of used games. As a result, Defendants maintain inflated revenues and an unfair competitive advantage.

### PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS AGAINST GAMESTOP

- 29. Plaintiff is a resident of San Mateo, California. He occasionally purchases video games from GameStop for his personal use.
- 30. On January 6, 2010, Plaintiff purchased a used version of the video game "Dragon Age Origins" from the GameStop retail store located at 1 Southland Mall in Hayward, California. Plaintiff paid \$54.99 plus taxes for the game, which is approximately \$5 less than a new copy of the game.
  - 31. Plaintiff purchased the game from GameStop's retail store, in part,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>GameStop, http://www.gamestop.com/gs/help/Store%20Return%20 Policy.pdf (last visited Mar. 12, 2010).

because of the box cover, which advertised that an additional character and quest could be downloaded for free upon the retail purchase of "Dragon Age Origins."

- 32. A couple weeks later, Plaintiff discovered that he would not have access to the full features of the game, such as the downloadable character and quest that was featured on the back of the game's box. Plaintiff had relied on the representations on the back of the box and believed that when he purchased the game, he would have access to these features without paying an additional fee. In fact, the availability of the downloadable character and quest was a reason why he chose to purchase the game.
- 33. On or around Tuesday, January 19, 2010, Plaintiff complained to the GameStop manager and attempted to return the game at the retail location. Plaintiff explained that he purchased the game believing that he would be able to download the additional character and quest as advertised on the back of the game's box. The manager of the GameStop informed Plaintiff that because the seven-day return period had expired, he could no longer return the game.
- 34. As a result of GameStop's deceptive, unfair and unlawful practices, Plaintiff was forced to pay an additional \$15 to obtain the downloadable features because these features were an important part of his gaming experience, and a reason why he purchased "Dragon Age Origins."
- 35. As a result of GameStop's concealment, Plaintiff ultimately paid \$10 more to purchase a used game than he would have had he purchased a brand new copy of the exact same game.

### CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

36. Plaintiff brings this action, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

37. The classes Plaintiff seeks to represent (the "Class") are defined as follows:

All residents of the United States of America who purchased a video game from GameStop and were not able to access certain content without paying an additional fee because the game was used.

All residents of California who purchased a video game from GameStop and were not able to access certain content without paying an additional fee because the game was used.

- 38. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class definitions if discovery and further investigation reveals that the Class should be expanded or otherwise modified.
  - 39. Plaintiff reserves the right to establish sub-classes as appropriate.
- 40. This action is brought and properly may be maintained as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1)-(4) and 23(b)(1), (b)(2) or (b)(3) and satisfies the requirements thereof. As used herein, the term "Class Members" shall mean and refer to the members of the Class.
- 41. <u>Community of Interests</u>: There is a well-defined community of interest among Class Members, and the disposition of the claims of these Class Members in a single action will provide substantial benefits to all parties and to the Court.
- 42. <u>Numerosity</u>: While the exact number of members of the Class is unknown to the Plaintiff at this time and can only be determined by appropriate discovery, membership in the Class is ascertainable based upon the records maintained by Defendants. At this time, Plaintiff is informed and believes that the Class includes thousands of members. Therefore, the Class is sufficiently numerous that joinder of all members of the Class in a single action is impracticable under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 23(a)(1), and the resolution of their claims through the procedure of a class action will be of

benefit to the parties and the Court.

- 43. <u>Ascertainablity</u>: Names and addresses of members of the Class are available from Defendants' records. Notice can be provided to the members of the Class through direct mailing, publication, or otherwise using techniques and a form of notice similar to those customarily used in consumer class actions arising under California state law and federal law.
- 44. <u>Typicality</u>: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class which he seeks to represent under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(3) because Plaintiff and each member of the Class have been subjected to the same deceptive and improper practices and have been damaged in the same manner thereby.
- 45. Adequacy: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 23(a)(4). Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class, because he has no interests which are adverse to the interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiff is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action and, to that end, Plaintiff has retained counsel who are competent and experienced in handling class action litigation on behalf of consumers.
- 46. <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims asserted in this action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) because:
  - (a) The expense and burden of individual litigation make it economically unfeasible for Class Members to seek to redress their "negative value" claims other than through the procedure of a class action.
  - (b) If separate actions were brought by individual Class

    Members, the resulting duplicity of lawsuits would cause
    members to seek to redress their "negative value" claims

Page 13
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

other than through the procedure of a class action; and

they would not receive all of the advertised features of the Whether Plaintiff and Class Members justifiably relied on the fact that they would receive all the features advertised on the Whether Defendants' conduct was willful or reckless; Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, pre-judgment interest and costs Whether Defendants engaged in unfair business practices in violation of California Business & Professions Code sections In the alternative, this action is certifiable under the provisions of The prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual Class Members which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for The prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of adjudications as to them which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other Class Members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

respect to the Class as a whole and necessitating that any such relief be extended to Class Members on a mandatory, class wide basis.

50. Plaintiff is not aware of any difficulty which will be encountered in the management of this litigation which should preclude its maintenance as a class action.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# Violation of the Consumers Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civil Code § 1750 et seq.)

- 51. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 52. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of himself and the members of the Class.
- 53. This cause of action is brought pursuant to the Consumers Legal Remedies Act, California Civil Code §§ 1750 et seq. ("CLRA"). Plaintiff and Class Members are consumers as defined by California Civil Code § 1761(d). The Products are goods within the meaning of California Civil Code § 1761(a).
- 54. Defendants violated and continue to violate the CLRA by engaging in the following practices proscribed by California Civil Code § 1770(a) in transactions with Plaintiff and the Class which were intended to result in, and did result in, the sale of the Products:
  - (5) Representing that [the Product have]... characteristics...uses...which they do not have....
  - Representing that [the Product] are of a particular standard...if they are of another.
  - Advertising goods...with intent not to sell them às advertised.
  - (13) Making false or misleading statements of fact concerning reasons for...price reductions.
  - (16) Representing that the subject of a transaction has

- 55. Defendants violated the CRLA by representing and advertising that used video games had all of the same characteristics of the new game, and could be used in the same way. Defendants knew, however, that this was not the case and that many used video games did not offer the same features as new copies of the game.
- 56. Defendants further violated the CRLA by misleading customers as to the reasons why used video games were discounted, by not informing customers that they would not be receiving certain features of the game if they bought a used copy.
- 57. At this time, Plaintiff seeks only injunctive relief under this cause of action. Pursuant to § 1782 of the CRLA, Plaintiff will notify defendant in writing of the particular violations of § 1770 of the CLRA and demand that Defendants rectify the problems associated with the behaviour detailed above, which acts and practices are in violation of Civil Code § 1770.
- 58. If Defendants fail to respond adequately to Plaintiff's above-described demand within 30 days of Plaintiff's notice, pursuant to Civil Code § 1782(b), Plaintiff will amend the complaint to request damages and other relief as permitted by Civil Code § 1780.
- 59. Pursuant to § 1782(d) of the CRLA, Plaintiff and the Class seek a Court order enjoining the above-described wrongful acts and practices of Defendants and for restitution and disgorgement.

### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Violation of Unfair Business Practices Act (California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.)

60. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of himself and the 61. members of the Class.
- 62. California Business and Professions Code § 17200 prohibits "any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice." For the reasons described above Defendants have engaged in unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent business acts or practices in violation of California Business and Professions Code §17200.
- Defendants' misrepresentations and omission of material facts, as 63. set forth herein, constitute an unlawful practice because it violates California Civil Code §§ 1572, 1573, 1709, 1710, 1711, 1770, California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq., and the common law.
- Defendants' misrepresentations and omission of material facts, as 64. set forth herein, also constitutes "unfair" business acts and practices within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq., in that their conduct was injurious to consumers, offended public policy, and was unethical and unscrupulous. Plaintiff also asserts a violation of public policy by withholding material facts from consumers. Defendants' violation of consumer protection and unfair competition laws in California and other states resulted in harm to consumers.
- There were reasonable alternatives available to Defendants to 65. further Defendants' legitimate business interests, other than the conduct described herein.
- California Business and Professions Code § 17200 also prohibits 66. any "fraudulent business act or practice."
- Defendants' concealment of material facts, as set forth above, were 67. false, misleading and/or likely to deceive the public within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code § 17200.
  - Defendants' concealment was made with knowledge its effect, and 68.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

was done to induce Plaintiff and Class Members to purchase used video games. Plaintiff and Class members justifiable relied on Defendants' misrepresentations when purchasing these used video games.

- 69. Defendants' conduct caused and continues to cause injury to Plaintiff and the other Class Members. Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered injury in fact and have lost money as a result of Defendants' fraudulent conduct.
- 70. Defendants have thus engaged in unlawful, unfair and fraudulent business acts entitling Plaintiff and Class Members to judgment and equitable relief against Defendants, as set forth in the Prayer for Relief.
- Additionally, pursuant to Business and Professions Code § 17203, Plaintiff and Class Members seek an order requiring Defendants to immediately cease such acts of unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices and require Defendants to correct its actions.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

### Fraud and/or Intentional Deceit

- 72. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of himself and the **73**. members of the Class.
- Defendants have made misrepresentations consisting of concealment and/or suppression of a material fact, namely the fact that used games do not include all of the advertised content and features.
- Defendants knew about the problem with used video games 75. described above as a result of the checks made on all used games and from complaints voiced by Plaintiff and other Class Members.
- 76. The additional characters, quests, levels and/or songs that are available by entering use codes are a material and important game feature.

Plaintiff and other Class Members would not have purchased a used copy of these video game had they known that doing so would only give access to part the game's features.

- 77. Defendants made the misrepresentations stated above with knowledge of the effect of concealing of these material facts. Defendants knew that by not informing consumers about the missing features in used games, they would sell more used games which would result in a higher profit margin.
- 78. By concealing material information about used video games

  Defendants intended to induce Plaintiff and Class Members to purchasing used video games that did not include all of the advertised content.
- 79. Plaintiff and Class Members justifiably relied on the representations made on the video game boxes because Defendants failed to notify them that certain content is not available on used copies of some games.
  - 80. Defendants acted with malice, oppression and/or fraud.
- 81. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misrepresentations, Plaintiff and each Class Member have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, requests the Court enter judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- 1. Certifying the Class as requested herein;
- 2. Ordering Defendant is financially responsible for notifying all Call Members of the alleged misrepresentation discussed herein;
- 3. Awarding Plaintiff and the proposed Class Members compensatory damages in an amount according to proof at trial;
- 4. Awarding restitution and disgorgement of Defendants' revenues to Plaintiff and the proposed Class Members;
  - 5. Awarding declaratory and injunctive relief as permitted by law or

For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. Respectfully submitted, Initiative Legal Group APC Attorneys for Plaintiff James Collins Page 20 **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 

# INITIATIVE LEGAL GROUP APC 1800 CENTURY PARK EAST, SECOND FLOOR, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: March 23, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Initiative Legal Group APC

By: Gene Williams
Mark P. Pifko
Jennifer S. Grock

Attorneys for Plaintiff James Collins